



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/817,253	04/02/2004	Mahesh Chellappa	2705-0737	1787
73552 7590 05/28/2008 Stolowitz Ford Cowger LLP 621 SW Morrison St Suite 600 Portland, OR 97205				
EXAMINER				
CHU, WUTCHUNG				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2619				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
05/28/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/817,253

**Applicant(s)**

CHELLAPPA ET AL.

**Examiner**

WUTCHUNG CHU

**Art Unit**

2619

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) WUTCHUNG CHU (examiner).(3) Michael Cofield (attorney reg#54630).(2) Edan Orgad (SPE).(4) Michelle Craig.

Date of Interview: 22 May 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 35-66.

Identification of prior art discussed: Bertin et al. (US6934249).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: restriction on claims 35-52 was discussed; upon discussion, applicant agreed that claims 35-38 are independently distinct from the original presentation. Examiner agreed to withdraw finality after receiving after final argument. Claims 39-52 fell within the scope of original presentation and restriction will be withdrawn; applicant agreed to amend claim 39 by putting 43 and 44 into claim 39 and the like for patentability.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

W/C/Wutchung Chu

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an  
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required